arXiv:0706.3461v1 [quant-ph] 23 Jun 2007

Geometrization of quantum mechanics

O.A. Olkhov

Institute of Chemical Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences,Moscow

The hypothesis is suggested that the equation for the Dirac free wave field is, in fact, a group-theoretical relation describing propagation of specific microscopic deviations of space geometry from the euclidean one (closed topological manifolds). The Dirac equation for a hydrogen atom can also be interpreted as a relation that accounts for the symmetry properties of a piece of curved space. Within the framework of this concept, atoms have no any pointlike particles (electrons) inside, and the gauge invariance of electromagnetic field proves to be the natural consequence of the basic principles of the proposed geometrical approach.

Introduction

Geometrization of the gravitational field within the general relativity theory gave rise to a hope that all physical phenomena can be reduced to the geometrical notions of curved space-time. Main efforts were firstly directed toward finding the geometrical interpretation of the electromagnetic field as a manifestation of the space-time curvature. These numerous attempts were not successful, and we note in this presentation only the well known work of Weyl, who showed that electromagnetic potentials can be interpreted as connectivities in some special noneuclidean space (Weyl space)[1]. Then (as in general relativity), Weyl and Fock attempted to identify the geometry of this space (curvature and so on) with the geometry of a real space-time distorted due to the presence of electromagnetic field [1,2]. This hypothesis turned out to be contradictory to observable proprieties of the real physical space-time, and the Weyl's results were afterwards considered as the methodical ones. However, we will show below that the Weyl theory acquires new important physical meaning within the suggested topological approach. There were also investigations in succeeding years in which the potentials of electromagnetic field and of other gauge fields were interpreted as connectivities in special

"internal"spaces that can be considered as some kind of fibre spaces, but these spaces have nothing to do with the curvature of the real space time [3,4].

Attempts to geometrize "matter"were not so numerous as the ones for electromagnetic and other gauge fields. By the matter we mean classical particles, quantum particles of nonrelativistic quantum mechanics, or quantized or nonquantized Fermi fields of the quantum field theory. These attempts were also not successful, and we note here only Wheeler's investigations, because he used (as we do) topological approach for his geometrization of the classical electric charges [5]. As for the geometrization of the quantum mechanics, we have to keep in mind the exceptional accuracy of the modern quantum formalism. Therefore, we assume that the "new geometrical quantum mechanics"(if exists) have to begin with the finding out of geometrical interpretation of the basic quantum equations whose validity is beyond question, and we start with the attempts of finding out geometrical interpretation of the Dirac equations. Some preliminary results were already published [6-10].

1. Topological interpretation of the Dirac wave equation for the free particle

This equation (equation for the free particle with spin 1/2) can be written in the following symbolic form [11]

$$i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi = m\psi, \tag{1}$$

where $\partial_{\mu} = \partial/\partial x_{\mu}$, $\mu = 1,2,3,4$, $\psi(x)$ is the four-component Dirac bispinor, $x_1 = t, x_2 = x, x_3 = y$, $x_4 = z$, and γ^{μ} are the four-row matrices

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{1,2,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{s} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{s}$ stands for the two-row Pauli matrices (we represent here four-row matrices trough two-row ones). The summation in Eq.(1) goes over the repeating indices with a signature $(1,-1,-1,-1)$. Here, $\hbar = c = 1$. For

the definite values of 4-momentum p_μ, the solution to Eq.(1) has the form

$$\psi = \psi_p \exp(-ip_\mu x^\mu). \tag{2}$$

Substitution of (2) in Eq.(1) gives the following relation for p_μ

$$p_1^2 - p_2^2 - p_3^2 - p_4^2 = m^2. \tag{3}$$

Within the modern interpretation of Eq.(1), solution (2) represents a real physical object—classical wave field with mass and spin. As a classical electromagnetic field, this field (as other possible wave fields) may be represented, after quantization, as an ensemble of quantum–elementary objects of the nature [11]. It is important to emphasize that the space-time is considered within such interpretation only as a scene where the above quanta exist and interact. Below we will show that Eq.(1) may be interpreted as a relation describing objects that are parts of the space itself.

Being or not the solution to Eq.(1), four components of the Dirac bispinors realize one of irreducible representations of the space-time symmetry group–Lorentz extended group (4-rotations and space inversion). Let us show that, being a solution of Eq.(1), the bispinor (2) realizes the representation of one more symmetry group, that was not discussed before and which has nothing to do with the space-time symmetry. It is helpful to rewrite Eq.(1) in the form

$$(l_1^{-1}\gamma^1 T_1 - l_2^{-1}\gamma^2 T_2 - l_3^{-1}\gamma^3 T_3 - l_4^{-1}\gamma^4 T_4)\psi = l_m^{-1}\psi, \tag{4}$$

where operators $T_\mu (\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ have the form

$$T_\mu = i(2\pi)^{-1} l_\mu \partial_\mu, \quad l_\mu = 2\pi p_\mu^{-1}, \quad l_m = 2\pi m^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

We rewrite solution (2) as

$$\psi = \psi_p \exp(-2\pi i x_1 l_1^{-1} + 2\pi i x_2 l_2^{-1} + 2\pi i x_3 l_3^{-1} + 2\pi i x_4 l_4^{-1}). \tag{6}$$

We also rewrite relation (3) as

$$l_1^{-2} - l_2^{-2} - l_3^{-2} - l_4^{-2} = l_m^{-2}. \tag{7}$$

Note that all quantities in Eqs.(4–7) have the dimensionality of length.

Operators T_μ in (5) and function $\psi(x)$ in (6) are related by the following equation

$$\psi^{'}(x^{'}_\mu) \equiv T_\mu \psi(x^{'}_\mu) = \psi(x_\mu), \quad x^{'}_\mu = x_\mu + l_\mu. \tag{8}$$

This means that T_μ is the operator representation of a group of parallel translations along the x_μ axis over a distance l_μ, and solution (6) realizes this representation [12]. Being a four-component spinor, $\psi(x)$ is related to the matrices γ^μ by the equations (see, for example, [13])

$$\psi^{'}(x^{'}) = \gamma^\mu \psi(x), \tag{9}$$

where $x \equiv (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$, and $x^{'} \equiv (x_1, -x_2, -x_3, -x_4)$ for $\mu = 1, x^{'} \equiv (-x_1, x_2, -x_3, -x_4)$ for $\mu = 2$, and so on. This means that the matrices γ^μ are the matrix representation of the group of reflections along three axes perpendicular to the x_μ axis, and the Dirac bispinors realize this representation.

A parallel translation with simultaneous reflection in the directions perpendicular to the translation is often spoken of as "sliding symmetry"(see, for example, [14]). Thus, we see that the operators

$$P^\mu = \gamma^\mu T_\mu \tag{10}$$

form the representations of sliding symmetry group (sliding symmetries in the $0x_\mu$ directions). Using the above notation, we can rewrite Eq.(1) as a group-theoretical relation

$$l_\mu^{-1} P^\mu \psi = l_m^{-1} \psi, \tag{11}$$

where, as we showed before, solution (2) to this equation realizes the above sliding symmetry group representation and, within suggested interpretation, bears no relation to any wave processes into the space–time.

The physical Minkovskii space-time has no sliding symmetry. Therefore, we suppose that the above sliding symmetry is the symmetry of some auxiliary 4-space and that the Dirac equation is written in this space, whose

symmetry describes symmetry of some geometrical object. Such auxiliary spaces are used in topology for the mathematical description of closed manifolds, because discrete groups operating in these spaces (universal covering spaces of manifolds) are isomorphic to fundamental groups of manifolds [15]. At the present time, only two-dimensional closed manifolds are classified in details, and their fundamental groups and universal covering planes are identified. Four-dimensional manifolds with the above sliding symmetry group operating in pseudoeuclidean universal covering space were not considered before. Therefore, we can only use low-dimensional analogies, and two-dimensional nonorientable closed manifold homeomorphic to the Klein bootle may be considered as a possible such analogy, because its fundamental group is generated by two sliding symmetries on the euclidean universal covering space [14]. So, we assume that Eq.(1) describes a four-dimensional nonorientable closed space-time manifold, and that this manifold is the quantum object represented by the Dirac equation. Nonorientable character of the manifold corresponds to the fact that this object "has spin 1/2"(note that spinors are just the tensors that represent nonorientable geometrical objects).

First of all, let us show that the above assumption does not contradict to our representation of physical objects as "something"moving in space. Namely, we will show that assumed "geometrical"quantum object looks like a propagating and increasing piece of curved space. To get a better insight into the problem, we will use below a one-dimensional analogy of our phenomenon. Let us consider the simplest example of a closed topological manifold—one-dimensional manifold homeomorphic to a circle whose perimeter length is fixed and equal to l_0. This restriction on the perimeter length plays here a role of restrictions (7). Such a manifold is representable by all possible deformations of this circle that conserves its continuity.

Let us show that the above manifold can be identified by the linear differential equation that could be considered as an analogy to the Dirac equation (1). The fundamental group of this manifold is a group isomorphic

to the group of integers [14]. This group is isomorphic, in its turn, to the discrete group of one-dimensional translations along a straight line over a distance l_0. This line (0X axis) is the universal covering space of our manifold. Therefore, the universal covering space for our circle is a one-dimensional euclidean space where the above symmetry group operates.

As we saw in (4–7), the operator

$$T_x = i(2\pi)^{-1} l_0 d/dx \tag{12}$$

is the operator representation of the above group of parallel translations along 0X axis over the length l_0, and the function

$$\varphi(x) = \exp(-2\pi i x l_0^{-1}) \tag{13}$$

realizes this representation. This function satisfies the equation

$$id\varphi/dx = m_0\varphi, \quad m_0 = 2\pi l_0^{-1}. \tag{14}$$

Thus, linear differential equation (14) can be considered as one of the possible descriptions of our one-dimensional manifold, and, within the geometrical interpretation, this equation can be considered as a very simplified analogy to the Dirac equation (1).

We will now show that our one-dimensional manifold describes propagation of the pieces of the distorted one-dimensional space. For simplicity, we consider here only all possible manifold deformations that have a shape of ellipse with perimeter length l_0. The equation for the ellipse on an euclidean plane has the form

$$X^2/a^2 + Y^2/b^2 = 1, \tag{15}$$

where all possible values of the semiaxes a and b are connected with the perimeter length l_0 by the known approximate relation

$$l_0 \simeq \pi[1,5(a+b) - (ab)^{1/2}]. \tag{16}$$

This means that the range of all possible values of a is defined by the inequality $0 \leq a \leq a_{max} \simeq l_0/1,5\pi$.

In the pseudoeuclidean two-dimensional "space-time,"the equation for our ellipses has the form

$$X^2/a^2 - T^2/b^2 = 1, \tag{17}$$

and this equation defines the dependence on time T for the position of every point X of the manifold. At $T = 0, X = \pm a$; that is, our manifold is represented by the point set in one-dimensional euclidean space, and the dimension of this point set is defined by all possible values of a. So, at $T = 0$, the manifold is represented by an extanded region of the one–dimensional euclidean space $-a_{max} \leq X = a \leq a_{max}$. It can easily be shown that at $T \neq 0$ this region increases and moves along the X-axis. Thus, we can suppose that the Dirac equation (1) describe propagation of an increasing region of the curved euclidean space. The particular features of this propagation will be considered elsewhere.

Finally, our hypothesis can be formulated as follows: *The Dirac equation (1) is, in fact, the relation that describes the topological and metrical properties of the microscopic closed nonorientable space-time 4-manifold whose fundamental group is the sliding symmetry group. Namely,it imposes limitations (7) on the possible values of l_μ—the lengths of paths belonging to four different classes of the above fundamental group. The lengths l_μ are defined trough 4-momentum by Eq.(5) The notion of "spin $1/2$"corresponds to the nonorientable character of the manifold. Equation (1)is written not in the physical space-time but in the auxiliary 4-space—universal covering space of the manifold, where the above group operates, and this space coincides formally with the Minkovskii space-time. "Wave"function (2) is a basic vector of the fundamental group representation, and it bears no relation to any wave field in the space. In the euclidean 3-space, the above manifold appears as a propagation of the increasing piece of a curved space.*

2. Topological interpretation of the Dirac equation for a hydrogen atom

The suggested geometrical interpretation of Eq. (1) can be considered as the "kinematic"hypothesis. To be approved, it should be verified within the dynamic problems—quantum electrodynamics, atomic spectra, and so on. In this Section we start with the simplest dynamic problem where the interaction is the interaction with a given static field. Namely, we will show that the Dirac equation for a hydrogen atom allows topological interpretation as the equation for free Dirac field.

The Dirac equation for hydrogen atom has the form [11]

$$i\gamma^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu} - ieA_{\mu})\psi = m\psi. \tag{18}$$

Here e and m are charge and mass of an electron, A_{μ} are electromagnetic potentials.

It was earlier shown by Fock that the expression in (18)

$$(\partial_{\mu} - ieA_{\mu})\psi$$

can be considered as a covariant derivative of the Dirac bispinors in the special noneuclidean space (planar Weyl space) and that electromagnetic potentials ieA_{μ} can be considered as a connectivities of this space [2]. Up to now, the meaning of this result was not clear, because physical space-time does not demonstrate any features of the Weil space in the presence of electromagnetic field. But Fock's result acquires a physical meaning only if we assume, on the basis of conclusions of previous Section, that the equation (18) is written not in the physical space, but in an auxiliary space—universal covering space of the closed 4-manifold representing hydrogen atom.

Since the above result plays a key role, let us discuss properties of the planar Weyl space in more detail. Geometry of this space is specified by linear and quadratic forms [1]

$$ds^2 = g_{ik}dx^i dx^k = \lambda(x)(dx_1^2 - dx_2^2 - dx_3^2 - dx_4^2), \tag{19}$$

$$d\varphi = \varphi_{\mu}dx^{\mu}, \tag{20}$$

where $\lambda(x)$–is an arbitrary differentiable positive function of coordinates x_μ. This space is invariant with respect to the scale (or gauge) transformations

$$g_{ik}^{'} = \lambda g_{ik}, \quad \varphi_i^{'} = \varphi_i - \frac{\partial \ln \lambda}{\partial x_i}. \tag{21}$$

Therefore, a single-valued, invariant sense has not φ_i but the quantity (scale curvature)

$$F_{ik} = \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial x_i}. \tag{22}$$

Antisymmetric tensor F_{ik} obeys equations that are analogous to the first pair of Maxwell's equations

$$\partial_i F_{kl} + \partial_k F_{li} + \partial_l F_{ik} = 0.$$

This analogy and the gauge invariance of φ_i (like the gauge invariance of electromagnetic potentials) lead Weyl to the idea that vectors φ_i can be identified with the electromagnetic potentials and that tensor F_{ik} can be identified with the tensor of electromagnetic field strengths

$$\varphi_\mu \equiv ieA_\mu, \quad A_\mu^{'} = A_\mu - \partial_\mu \chi, \quad \chi = ie \ln \lambda. \tag{23}$$

Then (like in general relativity), Weyl attempted to identify the geometry of his space (curvature and so on) with the geometry of a real space-time distorted by the presence of electromagnetic field [1]. But it turned out that this hypothesis was contradictory to some observable proprieties of the real physical space-time (it was shown Einstein in the supplement to the Weyl publication [1]), and the Weyl's results were afterwards considered as having nothing to do with the electromagnetic field.

In contrast to Fock, we suppose that the covariant derivative in (18) is written not in the real space-time but in the auxiliary space— universal covering space of topological manifold. So, there are no objections against the Weyl space within our consideration. This means that we can assume that the "long derivative"in (18) is a covariant derivative written in the Weyl space and that the 4-potentials ieA_μ play the role of connectivities in the above

space. The concrete properties of the manifold representing a hydrogen atom will be considered in subsequent publications, but just now we can notice two important consequences of the topological interpretation of Eq.(18).

1. It is known that connectivities of the Weyl space demonstrate the same gage invariance as the gauge invariance of an electromagnetic field [1]. This means that within the topological interpretation of Eq.(18) the gage invariance of electromagnetic potentials A_μ is not some additional theoretical principle but is a natural consequence of geometrical approach.

2. Geometrical interpretation of Eq.(18) for hydrogen atom does not assume a presence of any point-like particles (electrons) inside the atom. The wave function $\psi(x_\mu)$ plays here the role of a basic vector of the fundamental group representation. Coordinates x_μ are coordinates of a point in the manifold universal covering space, and this point bears no relation to some point-like object. It seems reasonable to suppose that the same situation will be realized within geometrical consideration of many-electrons atoms. It is possible that the corresponding equations will turn out to be the equations for functions of only one variable x_μ, and this will give the chance to overcome known difficulties of many-body problem.

References

1. Weyl H 1918 *Gravitation und Electrisitat, Berlin Preus.Akad.Wiss*

2. Fock V 1929 *Zs. f. Phys.* **57** 261

3. Cheng T P, Li L F 1984 *Gauge theory of elementary particle physics, Oxford, Clarendon Press, Ch.8*

4. Itzykson C, Zuber J B 1978 *Quantum Field Theory, New York McGraw-Hill, Ch.12*

5. Wheeler J A 1960 *Neutinos, Gravitation and Geometry, Bologna* 6. Olkhov O A, 2001 *Chem.Phys.Reports* **19** 1075

7. Olkhov O A, 2002 *Proc. Int. Conf. on the Structure and Interactions of the Fhoton (Ascona Switzerland)* (New Jersey-London-Singapure-Hong Kong: World Scientific) p 360

8. Olkhov O A 2002 *Topological interpretation of the Dirac equation and*

geometrization of physical interactions (Moscow: Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology Press)
9. Olkhov O A, 2003 *Proc.XXIV Int.Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics (Paris)* (Group 24: Physical and Mathematical Aspects of Symmetries Paris Institute of Physics Conference Series Number 173, p 363)
10. Olkhov O A 2006 Geometrization of some quantum mechanics formalism *Preprint* hep-th/0605060
11. Bjorken J D, Drell S D 1964 *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics and Relativistic Quantum Fields, New York McGraw-Hill*
12. Hamermesh M 1964 *Group Theory and Its Application to Physical Problems, Argonne National Laboratory*
13. Achiezer A I, Peletminski S V 1986 *Fields and Fundamental Ineractions, Kiev Naukova Dumka, Ch.1*
14. Coxeter H S M 1961 *Introduction to Geometry, N.Y.-London John Wiley and Sons*
15. Schvartz A S 1989 *Quantum Field Theory and Topology Moscow Nauka*